

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,746	12/24/2003	Masaharu Itaya	MAM-036	9105	
20374 KUBOVCIK &	7590 02/01/2007 & KUROVCIK		EXAMINER		
SUITE 710		DOVE, TRACY MAE			
900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1745	1745	
·					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	02/01/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

					<i>v</i>			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/743,7	46	ITAYA ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Tracy Do		1745				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence address	S			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a bed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev junication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICAT rent, however, may a reply rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 January 200)7 .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	·—							
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	•				
		unnlication		•				
,	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3,17 and 78</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-16 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election r	equirement.					
∆nnlicati	on Papers							
		- Eveniner						
•	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ND objected to by:	the Evaminer				
10)	Applicant may not request that any object	,						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	nder 35 II S C & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
,	X All b) Some * c) None of:	ior loreign priority un	del 55 0.5.0. g 11	(a)-(a) or (i).				
۵,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies		• •		je			
	application from the Internation							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cert	ified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachmen	t(s)	•						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	TO-948)		fail Date mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/24/03,5/4/04</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDSs) submitted on 12/24/03 and 5/4/04 have been considered by the examiner.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1 and 4-19, in the reply filed on 1/19/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 17 and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/19/07. The elected species is magnesium bistrifuoromethanesulfonimide.

Claims Analysis

Claim 1 recites "for a nonaqueous battery", which is not given patentable weight because it is an intended use limitation. Claims 4-8 recite "for a nonaqueous battery", which is not given patentable weight because it is an intended use limitation.

Claims 7 and 8 recite limitations further limiting the molten salt of claim 4. However, neither claim 7 nor claim 8 positively recites the magnesium salt is dissolved in a molten salt. A Application/Control Number: 10/743,746

Art Unit: 1745

magnesium salt dissolved in an organic solvent would read upon claims 7 and/or 8 because claim 4 teaches an organic solvent or a molten salt is used to dissolve the magnesium salt.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-10, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 9 recite the term "and/or", which is indefinite. Examiner suggests "at least one of an organic solvent or a room temperature molten salt...". Furthermore, the term "ordinary temperature" should be deleted from claim 9 because it is a relative term.

Claim 14 should recite "at least one of an imide salt or a sulfonate" to provide proper group language. Claim 19 should recite "at least one selected from the group consisting of a magnesium metal..." to provide proper group language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-12, 14-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshimoto et al., Electrochimica Acta 46 (2001) 1195-1200, <u>Ionic conductance of polymeric electrolyte consisting of magnesium slats dissolved in cross-linked polymer matrix with linear polyether (Yoshimotol)</u>.

Yoshimoto1 teaches the conductivity of the electrolyte depends of the kind of dissolved magnesium salt. The highest conductivity was obtained for the polymeric electrolyte containing Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂. A Mg/Mg cell using the polymeric electrolyte proved that Mg²⁺ is mobile (abstract). The magnesium ion is mobile and electrochemically active (page 1195). Polymeric gel systems swollen with aprotic solvents such as propylene carbonate have been proposed as high Mg²⁺ conductors. The polymeric system of Yoshimoto consists of oligo(ethylene oxide)-grafted polymethacrylate matrix and linear polyether which dissolves magnesium salts (1196). The electrolytic salt may be Mg(ClO₄)₂, Mg(CF₃SO₃)₂ or Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂ (1196; col. 1). A solvent such as N,N-dimethyl formamide (DMF) can be used to improve the conductivity of the electrolyte (1200). Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yoshimoto et al., Electrochimica Acta 48 (2003) 2317-2322, Rechargeable magnesium batteries with polymeric gel electrolyte containing magnesium salts (Yoshimoto2).

Yoshimoto2 teaches polymer gel electrolyte with magnesium imide $Mg[(CF_3SO_2)_2N]_2$ as the electrolytic salt and mixed alkyl carbonates as the plasticizer. The highest conductivity was

obtained for EC+DMC (ethylene carbonate+dimethyl carbonate) dissolving Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂ (abstract). Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshikawa et al., US 2003/0127129.

Yoshikawa teaches a charge transfer material preferably comprising a magnesium salt wherein magnesium is coupled with an anion. The anion is preferably a bistrifluoromethane sulfonimide, the bistrifluoromethane sulfonamide is particularly preferred (0062). The charge transfer material may be an ion-conductive electrolytic composition. The ion-conductive compositions include molten electrolytic slats, electrolytic solutions having redox couples dissolved in solvents and solid electrolytic compositions (0066). The molten salt electrolyte may be used with or without a solvent (0079). The charge transfer material is usable for a solvent for chemical reactions, cells, etc (0039). Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaura et al., US 6,426,164 in view of Armand, US 5,072,040.

Yamaura teaches a non-aqueous electrolyte battery incorporating magnesium as a charge carrier. The non-aqueous electrolyte, disposed between a negative electrode and a positive

electrode, contains a non-aqueous solvent and an electrolyte constituted by magnesium salt (abstract). The negative electrode may be magnesium or an alloy of magnesium (2:58-62). Since magnesium ions are diffused into the positive electrode, the positive electrode includes magnesium (3:5-42). The magnesium salts are listed at column 3, lines 63-67. The solvents are listed at column 4, lines 1-14. The solvent may be dimethoxyethane or a carbonate.

Yamaura does not explicitly state the magnesium salt is Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂. However, Armand teaches the preparation of Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂ (Example 4). Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because Armand teaches perfluorosulfonylimides are useful as salts in liquid electrolyte. One of skill would have been motivated to use the Mg[(CF₃SO₂)₂N]₂ magnesium salt for the magnesium salt of Yamaura because Armand teaches such magnesium salts are useful for liquid electrolytes. Armand teaches aprotic polar solvents in column 3, lines 26-32.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,746

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

January 31, 2007

TRACY DOVE